

Clinicophysiological and Haemodynamic Effects of Medetomidine and Dexmedetomidine as Preanaesthetics for Propofol-Isflurane General Anesthesia in Dogs

Ramesha.H, Mahesh V, Nagaraja BN, Ranganath L, Sudha G and Srinivasa Murthy KM

Submitted: 15-10-2022

Accepted: 31-10-2022

ABSTRACT

The research was conducted in 18 clinical cases presented to Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology, Veterinary College Hospital, Hebbal, Bengaluru. Animals were randomly divided into three groups viz., Group I, Group II and Group III consisting of six dogs in each group. Dogs of all the groups were atropinised (@0.04 mg/kg) subcutaneously except Group I dogs. Dogs in Group I directly induced with propofol (@6mg/kg) intravenously. In dogs of Group II Medetomidine was administered @ 20mcg /kg IV, ten minutes later the anesthesia was induced by administering Propofol @ 3 mg/kg. In dogs of Group III Dexmedetomidine was administered @ 10mcg /kg IV, ten minutes later the anesthesia was induced by administering Propofol @ 3 mg/kg. Maintenance of anesthesia was done by isoflurane in all the three groups. Anaesthetic combinations were evaluated by clinical and physiological observations. The fast onset of sedation was observed in Group II and Group III dogs. The induction time was significantly slower in Group I dogs followed by Group III and Group II dogs. Smooth recovery in Group II and Group III dogs without any side effect. Excellent muscle relaxation and good analgesia were observed in Group II and Group III dogs as compared to Group I. Physiological parameters fluctuated within the normal limits. The anesthetic protocol carried out in Group II and Group III given satisfactory results with respect to sedation, analgesia, muscle relaxation, smooth induction and recovery without any untoward events or complications as compared to directly induced Propofol Group.

Keywords: anesthesia, dog, medetomidine, dexmedetomidine, propofol, isoflurane

I. INTRODUCTION

Many minor and major surgical procedures are routinely performed in small animal practice that required short term sedation, analgesia or anaesthesia. Reversible production of

insensibility to pain is known as anaesthesia. In veterinary practice, anaesthesia has to satisfy two requirements, humane handling of animals and technical efficiency. Anaesthesia is an indispensable pre-requisite for many surgical interventions with maximum technical efficiency and accuracy, so that surgeon can perform surgeries at ease. An ideal anaesthetic is one which produces sleep, amnesia, muscle relaxation and analgesia. However, all these effects cannot be produced by the single agent and therefore a combination of drugs is used which is known as the balanced anaesthesia (Thurmon and Short, 2007)

Alpha-2 adrenoreceptor agonists are another class of drugs widely used as pre-anaesthetics in dogs and other species for their sedative, analgesic, muscle relaxant, anxiolytic and anaesthetic sparing effects. Medetomidine is a potent and selective alpha-2-adrenoceptor agonist that contains equal parts of two optical enantiomers, dexmedetomidine and levomedetomidine. It rapidly produces dose-dependent, reliable sedation and analgesia with good muscle relaxation. Potent anaesthetic-sparing effects of medetomidine allow the use of lower doses of anaesthetics as a part of balanced anaesthesia. Dexmedetomidine is the dextro-isomer of medetomidine that possesses the selective alpha-2-agonist action because of its pharmacological activity. It has approximately 7 to 8 times the alpha-2 selectivity than that of clonidine. It produced the reliable sedation, analgesia, chemical restraint and also reduced the requirement of isoflurane when administered as a bolus in dogs (Weitzel et al., 1991) and was a preferred sedative and analgesic in critically ill patients because of its least cardio-pulmonary depression (Shukry and Miller, 2010).

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in 18 clinical cases of dogs presented for elective surgeries to the Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology,

Veterinary College Hospital, Hebbal, Bengaluru. The study was conducted to evaluate anaesthetic combinations of propofol-isoflurane, medetomidine-propofol-isoflurane and dexmedetomidine-propofol-isoflurane. All the animals were kept off feed for 12hrs and water was withheld for 6hours prior to the anaesthesia. Eighteen clinical cases were randomly divided into three groups viz., Group-I, Group-II and Group-III with 6 animals in each group. All the dogs were atropinised at the dose rate of 0.04mg/kg body weight subcutaneously except in Group I dogs. The dogs of Group I anesthesia was induced by administering Propofol at the dose rate of 6mg/kg body weight intravenously. In dogs of Group II Medetomidine was administered at the dose rate of 20mcg per kg body weight intravenously, ten minutes later the anesthesia was induced by administering Propofol intravenously, at the dose rate of 3mg per kg body weight. In the dogs of Group III Dexmedetomidine was administered at the dose rate of 10 mcg per kg body weight intravenously, ten minutes later the anesthesia was induced by administering Propofol intravenously, at the dose rate of 3mg per kg body weight. After induction, maintenance of anaesthesia was carried under isoflurane inhalant anaesthetic. Clinical parameters viz., Onset of sedation (noted with the onset of symptoms such as ataxia, drooping of eyelids and drowsiness), induction time (time taken for induction of general anaesthesia after propofol administration), duration of anaesthesia (time between the abolition and reappearance of pedal reflex), recovery time (time taken for animal to stand voluntarily after the cessation of anaesthesia). All the clinical and physiological parameters were recorded before the administration of premedicants, immediately after administration (0 min) and at 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 minutes after the administration of premedicants and induction of anesthesia. The variations in clinical and physiological parameters were recorded at different time intervals within the group and between the groups were calculated using T test: paired two sample for means and T test: two sample assuming equal variances respectively as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1994).

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Onset of sedation was characterized by lowering of head, drooping of eyelids, mild drowsiness and ataxia. Similar signs of sedation were earlier recorded by Vainio (1991), Kuusela et al. (2001) and Arunkumar (2017) during their

studies on Medetomidine and Dexmedetomidine used as a premedicants in dogs. Onset of sedation in Group II dogs was 1.36 ± 0.15 minutes. Where as, Vainio (1989), Tiwari et al. (1998), Koet et al. (2001) and Ozaydin et al. (2001) also used the same drug and reported onset of sedation as 1.9 ± 0.22 minutes, 1.98 ± 0.26 minutes, 3.5 ± 1.2 minute and two minutes respectively. The variations in the onset of sedation could be due to change in the route of administration and lower dose of Medetomidine used in their studies. In the present study the onset of sedation was faster as compared to above authors which could be attributed to intravenous administration of the Medetomidine and higher dose selected for sedation. Onset of sedation in Group III was 1.36 ± 0.13 minutes. Similarly, Arunkumar (2017) reported 2.05 ± 0.19 minutes of sedation time after intravenous administration of dexmedetomidine however, Ahmad et al. (2013) and Sahoo et al. (2018) reported a longer duration of onset with the same drug of 4.50 ± 0.96 minutes and 3.00 ± 0.89 minutes respectively. The variations in the onset of sedation could be due to intramuscular route of administration and lower dose of Dexmedetomidine used in their studies. In the present study fast onset of sedation could be due to intravenous administration of the Dexmedetomidine and higher dose range selected for sedation. The time taken for induction of anesthesia in Group I was 129 ± 13 seconds. This was in accordance with Shabaan et al. (2018) who reported induction time as 120.00 ± 0.05 seconds with Propofol anesthesia in dogs. The time taken for induction of anesthesia in Group II was 44 ± 5.30 seconds and Raszplewicz et al. (2013) reported similar induction time with Medetomidine-Propofol anesthesia in dogs. The time taken for induction of anesthesia in Group III also was 45 ± 4.46 seconds. This was in accordance with Asaramji (2018). On the contrary Arunkumar (2017) reported induction time of 57.33 ± 0.99 seconds during Dexmedetomidine-Propofol-Isoflurane anesthesia in dogs. In the present study the induction time was slightly faster which might be due to the general anaesthetic sparing effects of the preanaesthetics used in the study as reported by (Kuusela, 2001a). All the dogs in Group I had excitation and apnoea for 11 to 18 seconds immediately after the administration of Propofol which was also found earlier by Gurmita (2010) who observed apnoea for 13 to 20 seconds. Similar findings were recorded by Claeys et al. (1988), Morgan and Legge (1989), Smith et al. (1993), Bufalari et al. (1995) and Hofmeister et al. (2009)

the apnoea might be due to direct induction of anesthesia with Propofol without premedication and its respiratory depressant effects as earlier reported by Gurmita, (2010) and it might be due to total calculated dose of propofol administered as a bolus dose. No excitement during induction in dogs was observed in Group II and III compared to Group I could be due to premedicants help to relieve anxiety and decrease stress before induction of anesthesia had earlier reported by Lukasik (1999). Similar findings were also observed by Vaino (1991), Cullen and Reynoldson (1993) and Jagtap (2003) who reported excitement free induction with Propofol in alpha 2 agonist premedicated dogs. The induction time was significantly slower in Group I dogs followed by Group III dogs when compared to Group II dogs. Slower induction time in Group I could be due to direct induction with Propofol without premedication as reported by Bufalariet al., (1995). The time taken for duration of anesthesia in Group I, Group II and Group III were 38.33 ± 2.31 minutes, 42 ± 6.14 minutes and 44.5 ± 4.86 minutes respectively for elective surgical procedures (OHE and castration) conducted during the study. No significant difference in the duration of anesthesia was noticed between the groups and within the group as the duration of anesthesia was almost similar in the present surgeries conducted in all the three groups. The variations in the duration of anesthesia depends upon time and length of the surgery. Similarly, Arunkumar(2017) reported duration of anesthesia as 67.17 ± 12.50 minutes under Dexmedetomidine-Propofol-Isofluraneanesthesia for Radius and ulna fracture repair in dogs. Chonde (2002) reported duration of anesthesia as 43.40 ± 3.12 minutes under Medetomidine-Ketamine anesthesia for enterotomy in dogs. Lozano et al. (2009) reported duration of anesthesia as 105.3 ± 27.48 minutes under Propofol anesthesia for Magnetic Resonance Imaging in dogs. The time taken for recovery in Group I was 10.33 ± 1.20 minutes. However, Bufalariet al. (1995) and Shaaban (2018) reported a longer recovery time of 43 minutes and 91.67 ± 3.71 minutes respectively with Propofol anesthesia. Faster recovery in the present study could be due to Isofluraneanesthesia as compared to injectables used by other authors for the maintenance of anesthesia. The time taken for recovery in Group II was 18.33 ± 2.23 minutes. Similar recovery time observed by Kuuselaet al. (2000) reported recovery time was 20 minutes after Medetomidine-Propofol-Isofluraneanesthesia. However, Bufalariet al. (1995), Tiwariet al. (1998), Ozaydinet al. (2001)

and Monsang (2011) and reported 72 minute, 94.14 ± 6.18 minute, 86.1minutes and 60.75 ± 18.71 minutes for recovery respectively, this could be attributed to maintenance of anesthesia with injectables. The faster recovery in the present study is attributed maintenance of anesthesia with Isoflurane. Jena et al. (2014) stated that the faster recovery during Isofluraneanesthesia was due to low blood gas solubility of the Isoflurane which facilitated fast elimination from the body. The time taken for recovery in Group III was 18.16 ± 2.15 minutes. This was in concurrence with Kuuselaet al. (2000) and Arunkumar(2017) who reported recovery time of 18.17 ± 1.83 minutes and 20 minutes after Dexmedetomidine-Propofol-Isofluraneanesthesia in dogs. Kuuselaet al. (2003) reported a significantly lesser recovery time after Isofluraneanesthesia in comparison to Propofol infusion in Dexmedetomidinepremedicated dogs. However, Monsang (2011) reported longer recovery time of 29.75 ± 2.66 minute which could be attributed to maintenance of anesthesia with injectables. The faster recovery in the present study attributed to maintenance of anesthesia with Isoflurane. Jena et al. (2014) stated that the faster recovery during Isofluraneanesthesia was due to low blood gas solubility of the Isoflurane which facilitated fast elimination from the body. All the dogs in Group I showed salivation, muscle twitches, increased muscle tone, paddling with the forelegs during recovery period. The above findings were in concurrence with the observations made by Cullen and Reynoldson (1993) who observed the similar signs during recovery period when Propofol alone was used. Smooth recovery in Group II and Group III dogs were recorded without any side effect. Similar findings were observed by Amarpalet al. (1996) Sharma et al. (2014) and Patond (2016) who observed good to excellent quality of recovery in their study of Medetomidine and Dexmedetomidinepremedicants. The recovery time was non-significantly faster in Group I compared to Group II and Group III dogs which could be attributed to mechanism of extensive redistribution of the drug to the tissues and rapid metabolism that might have played a key role in early recovery from Propofol anesthesia. Prolonged recovery in other groups might be due to the synergistic effect of the premedicant drugs used prior to Propofol anesthesia. This was in agreement with Monsong (2011) and Jena et al. (2014) and this could also be attributed to the low blood gas solubility of the Isoflurane which facilitated fast elimination from the body. Further, similar findings had also been reported earlier by Hellebrekers

(1984), Meyer et al. (1984), Jones and Seymour (1986), Sloan et al. (1996) and Johnson et al. (1998). The muscle relaxation and depth of anesthesia were excellent in Group II and Group III as compare to Group I animals. This was in accordance with the observations made by Gurmita (2010) and Arunkumar (2017) and this could be due to premedicants which provided mild to moderate sedation, increases the muscle relaxation and analgesia before surgery as reported by Lukasik (1999). Mild drop in rectal temperature within the group of different intervals from five minute to 60th minute of anesthetic period and later returned back to normal level by 90th minute but there is no statistically significant difference within the group and between the groups. Non-significant decrease in rectal temperature in the present study might be attributed to the activation of α 2-receptors and direct effect of the sedatives /anesthetics drugs on thermoregulatory center in hypothalamus as earlier reported by Ponder and Clarke (1980), Virtanen, (1988) and Kuusela et al. (2001a). There was a significant decrease in respiratory rate from 5th minute to 60th minute of anesthetic period in all three groups. However, values returned back to normal physiological level by end of 90th minute and there was no significant difference between the groups during different intervals of anesthesia. Similar observations were earlier recorded by Parikh et al. (1995a), Kuusela et al. (2001a), Chonde (2002), Granholmet al. (2007), Gurmita (2010) and Santoshet al. (2013) in their studies. The decrease in respiration rate might be attributed to direct depressant action on central nervous system in general and respiratory center in particular (Parikh et al., 1995a) and activation of the alpha-2 adrenergic pathway, leading to inhibition of locus coeruleus neurons as stated by Oyamada et al. (1998). There was non-significant difference in heart rate within the group and between the groups during different intervals of anesthesia in all the three groups but in Group I there was significant decrease at 5th minute was recorded and the values returned to normal physiological range by end of 90th minute. Similar findings were recorded earlier by Granholmet al. (2007), Arunkumar (2017) and Rachel et al. (2017) in their respective studies. Decrease in heart rate as observed in Group I might be due to Propofol induced vasodilatation leading to a fall in systemic vascular resistance as well as dose related depression of myocardial contractibility as reported by Duke, (1995) and Mukatiet al. (2006) after administration of Propofol alone in dogs. Decreased heart rate in Group II and Group III due

to direct action of alpha 2-agonist on the post synaptic receptors of the vascular smooth muscles leading to vasoconstriction and an initial transient hypertension followed by pronounced hypotension (Bufalari, 1998). Inhibition of sympathetic tone due to reduction in norepinephrine release from the CNS, vagal activity in response to Alpha-2-agonists induced vasoconstriction and direct increase in the release of acetylcholine from parasympathetic nerves have been reported as the possible mechanisms by which alpha-2-agonists induce bradycardia (MacDonald and Virtanen, 1992). There was no significant difference in pulse rate within the group and between the groups during different intervals from five minute to 60th minute of anaesthetic period in all the three groups but in Group I there was significant decrease at 5th minute was recorded later the values return to the normal level by 90th minute. The results were in concurrence with Bufalari et al. (1995), Hofmeister et al. (2009) and Rakesh (2019). This could be attributed to synchronization of pulse rate with reduced heart rate after premedication as stated by MacDonald and Virtanen (1992). During the maintenance period decrease in mean pulse rate was mainly because of dose dependent cardiac depression during deep plane of Isoflurane anesthesia in synchronization with heart rate, as stated by Dobrinskiet al. (1994) and Yadav et al. (2008).

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the anesthetic protocol carried out in Group II and Group III given satisfactory results with respect to sedation, analgesia, muscle relaxation, smooth induction and recovery without any untoward events or the complications as compare to directly induced Propofol Group. Therefore, both the drugs produced potent sedative effect.

REFERENCE

- [1]. Ahmad R.A, Amarpal, Kinjavdekar P, Aithal H.P, Pawde A.M, Kumar D. Potential use of Dexmedetomidine for different levels of sedation, analgesia and anaesthesia in dogs. *veterinary Medicine* 2013;58(2):87–95.
- [2]. Amarpal, Pawde A.M, Singh G.R, Pratap K, Kumar N. Clinical evaluation of medetomidine with and without pentazocine in atropinized dogs. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 1996;66(3): 219-222.

- [3]. Arunkumar. Comparative evaluation of Dexmedetomidine, xylazine and triflupromazine as preanaesthetics with Propofol induction and Isoflurane anaesthetic combination for various surgeries in dogs. M. V. Sc Thesis, Karnataka Veterinary Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar, India 2017.
- [4]. Asaramji M.A. Comparative evaluation of intravenous Dexmedetomidine-Butorphanol and Dexmedetomidine-midazolam as Preanaesthetic with Propofol anaesthesia in dog. M. V. Sc Thesis, Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Nagpur, India 2018.
- [5]. Bufalari A, Nilsson L.E, Short C.E, Giannoni C. A comparative study of neurologically-equivalent Propofol anaesthetic combinations in the dog. *J. Vet. Anaesth.*, 1995;22:19-24.
- [6]. Chonde M.S. Studies on the efficacy of medetomidine and diazepam as premedicants to Ketamine anaesthesia in canines. M. V. Sc thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, India 2002.
- [7]. Claeyns M, Gepts E, Camu F. Haemodynamic changes during anaesthesia induced and maintained with Propofol. *Br. J. Anaesth.*, 1988;60(1): 3-9.
- [8]. Cullen L.K, Reynoldson J.A. Xylazine or Medetomidine premedication before Propofol anaesthesia. *Vet. Rec.*, 1993;32(15): 378-383.
- [9]. Duke T. A new intravenous anaesthetic agent Propofol. *Can. Vet. J.* 1995;36(1): 181-182.
- [10]. Granholm M, Mckusick B.C, Westerholm F.C, Aspegren J.C. Evaluation of the clinical efficacy and safety of intramuscular and intravenous doses of Dexmedetomidine and Medetomidine in dogs and their reversal with Atipamezole. *Vet. Rec.*, 2007;160: 891-897.
- [11]. Gurmita K.K. Studies on the efficacy of Medetomidine and Midazolam as premedicants to Propofol anaesthesia in Paediatric dogs. M.V.Sc. Thesis submitted to Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur C.G., India 2010.
- [12]. Hellebrekers L.J. Some clinical aspects of the use of Isoflurane as an inhalation anaesthetic in the dog. *Vet. Anaesth. and Analg.*, 1984;12: 189-190.
- [13]. Hofmeister E.H, Weinstein W.L, Burger D, Brainard B.M, Accola P.J, More P.A. Effects of graded doses of Propofol for anaesthesia induction on cardiovascular parameters and intraocular pressures in normal dogs. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.*, 2009;36: 442-448.
- [14]. Jagtap D.A. Use of lipid free Propofol in day care surgery in canines. M.V.Sc. thesis, submitted to MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India 2003.
- [15]. Jena B, Jayakrushna D, Indramani N, Sardar K. K, Sahoo A, Beura S. S, Painuli A. Clinical evaluation of total intravenous anaesthesia using Xylazine or Dexmedetomidine with Propofol in surgical management of canine patients, *Vet. World.*, 2014;7(9): 671-680.
- [16]. Johnson R.A, Striler E, Sawyer D.C, Brunson D.B. Comparison of Isoflurane with Sevoflurane for anaesthesia induction and recovery in adult dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 1998;59: 478-481.
- [17]. Jones R.S, Seymour C.J. Clinical experiences with Isoflurane in dogs and horses. *Vet. Rec.*, 1986;119: 8-10.
- [18]. Ko J.C, Fox S.M, Mandsager R.E. Effects of pre-emptive Atropine administration on incidence of Medetomidine induced bradycardia in dogs. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 2001;218: 52-58.
- [19]. Kuusela E, Raekallio M, Anttila M, Falck I, Molsa S, Vainio O. Clinical effects and pharmacokinetics of Medetomidine and its enantiomers in dogs. *J. Vet. Pharma. Ther.*, 2000;23: 15-20.
- [20]. Kuusela E, Raekallio M, Vaisanen M, Mykkanen K, Ropponen H, Vainio O. Comparison of Medetomidine and Dexmedetomidine as premedicants in dogs undergoing Propofol-Isoflurane anaesthesia. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 2001^a;62: 1073-1080.
- [21]. Kuusela E, Vainio O, Kaistinen A, Kobylin S, Raekallio M. Sedative, analgesic, and cardiovascular effects of Levomedetomidine alone and in combination with Dexmedetomidine in dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 2001;62: 616-621.

- [22]. Kuusela E, Vainio O, Short C.E, Luoto J.L, Huttunen P, Strom S, Huju V, Valtonen A, Raekallio M.A comparison of Propofol infusion and Propofol/Isoflurane anaesthesia in Dexmedetomidinepremedicated dogs. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap.*,2003;26: 199-204.
- [23]. Lozano A.J, David C, Borer E.K, Chan E.A, Clarke K.W, Alibhai H.I. A comparison of the duration and quality of recovery from Isoflurane, Sevoflurane and Desflurane anaesthesia in dogs undergoing magnetic resonance imaging. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.*,2009;36(3): 220-229.
- [24]. Lukasik V.M.Premedication and Sedation. In: *Manual of Small Animal Anaesthesia and Analgesia*. Edt.,SEYMOUR, C. and GLEED, R., BASAVA Kingsley House, Church lane., 1999;pp:70.
- [25]. Meyer R.E, Gleed R.D, Harvey H.J.Isoflurane anaesthesia as an adjunct to hypothermia for surgery in a dog. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 1984;184:1387-1389.
- [26]. Monsang S.W. Comparison of medetomidine and Dexmedetomidine with and without Butorphanol and Midazolam as preanaesthetics to Propofol anaesthesia in sheep. Ph.D. Thesis, Submitted to Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar (U.P.), India 2011.
- [27]. Morgan D.W, Legge K. Clinical evaluation of Propofol as an intravenous anaesthetic agent in cats and dogs. *Vet. Rec.*,1989;124(2): 31-33.
- [28]. Mukati B.D, Singh V, Chauhan A.R. Clinico-biochemical effects of Propofol alone and in combination with Xylazine or Acepromazine in dogs. *J. Bombay Vet. Coll.*,2006;14: 108-113.
- [29]. Oyamada Y, Ballantyne D, Muckenhoff K, Scheid P. Respiration modulated membrane potential and chemosensitivity of locus coeruleus neurones in the in vitro brainstem-spinal cord of the neonatal rat. *J. Physiol.*,1998;513(2): 381-398.
- [30]. Ozaydin I, Atalan G, Uzun M, Kilic E, Cenesiz M. Assessment of anaesthetic properties and clinical, cardiovascular and respiratory effects of Medetomidine, Propofol and Ketamine combination in dogs. *KafkasUni. Veteriner. Fakultesi. Dergisi.*,2001;7(1): 71-76.
- [31]. Parikh P.V, Kumar A, Sharma B, Tiwari S.K, Jodan N.A. Clinical physiological and haematobiochemical effects of Detomidine with or without Atropine in diazepam premedicated dogs. *Indian J. Vet. Surg. Radio.*, 1995^a;16(1): 19-23.
- [32]. Patond C.V. Clinical evaluation of Dexmedetomidine-Propofol anaesthesia for ovariohysterectomy in bitches. M. V. Sc Thesis, Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Nagpur, India 2016.
- [33]. Ponder S.W, Clarke W.G. Prolonged depression of thermoregulation after Xylazine administration to cats. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap.*,1980;3:203-207.
- [34]. Rachel C.H, Marlis L.R, Khursheed R.M, Eugene P.S, Heather K, Ann M.H, Juhana M.H, Marja R.R, Outi M.V. Effects of constant rate infusions of Dexmedetomidine or MK-467 on the minimum alveolar concentration of Sevoflurane in dogs. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.*,2017;44(4):755-765.
- [35]. Raszplewicz J, Macfarlane P, West E. Comparison of sedation scores and Propofol induction doses in dogs after intramuscular premedication with Butorphanol and either Dexmedetomidine or Medetomidine. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.*,2013;40: 584-589.
- [36]. Sahoo M, Nath I, Nayak S, Kundu A.K, Panda S.K, Patra B.K. Comparison of sedative effect of Dexmedetomidine /Xylazine in combination with Butorphanol-Midazolam as preanaesthetic to Ketamine anaesthesia for ovariohysterectomy in dogs. *Explor. Anim. Med. Res.*,2018;8(1): 79-84.
- [37]. Santosh K.M, Amarpal, Ahmad R.A, Kinjavdekar P, Aithal H.P, Pawde A.M, Kumar D. Evaluation of Midazolam-Ketamine with Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl for injectable anaesthesia in dogs. *Veterinarski. Arhiv.*,2013;83(5): 509-523.
- [38]. Shaaban M.M, Kassem M.M, Kammar H, Korittum S.A. Clinical evaluation of intravenous Propofol alone or in combination with Diazepam, Ketamine HCl and Thiopental sodium to induce general anaesthesia in dogs. *Alex. J. Vet. Sci.*,2018;57(1): 106-114.



- [39]. Sharma R, Kumar A, Sharma S.K, Sharma A, Tewari N. Comparison of xylazine and Dexmedetomidine as a premedicant for general anaesthesia in dogs. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*,2014;84(1): 8-12.
- [40]. ShukryM, Miller J.A.Update on Dexmedetomidine: use in non-intubated patients requiring sedation for surgical procedures. *Ther.Clin.Risk.Manag.*,2010;6:111.
- [41]. Sloan M.H, Conard P.F, Karsunky P.K, Gross J.B.SevofluranevsIsoflurane: Induction recovery characteristics with single breath inhaled inductions of anaesthesia. *Anesth.Analg.*,1996;82: 528-532.
- [42]. Smith J.A, Gaynor J.S, Bednarski R.M, Muir W.W. Adverse effects of Propofol administration with various preanaesthetic regimens in dogs. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.*,1993;202(7): 1111-1115.
- [43]. SnedecorGw, Cochran Wg. *Statistical Methods*. Oxford and Ibh Publication, New Delhi, 1994.
- [44]. ThurmonJc, Short Ce. History And Overview Of Veterinary Anesthesia. In: Tranquilli, W. J., Thurmon, J. C., Grimm, K. A. (Editors). *LumbAnd Jones' Veterinary Anesthesia And Analgesia*. 4th Edn. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford. 2007;3-6.
- [45]. Tiwari S.K, Roy S, Mishra U.K.Medetomidine-Ketamine anaesthesia in canine and its reversal by Atipamezole.*Indian J. Anim. Sci.*,1998;68: 1140-1142.
- [46]. Vainio, O.Propofol infusion anaesthesia in dogs premedicated with Medetomidine. *J.Vet. Anaesth.*,1991;18: 35-37.
- [47]. Virtanen R, SavolaJ.M, Saano V, Nyman L. Characterization of the selectivity, specificity and potency of Medetomidine as an alpha 2 adrenoceptor agonist. *Eur. J. Phamacol.*, 1988;150: 9-14.
- [48]. Weitz J.D, Foster S.D, Waugaman W.R, Katz R.L, Bloor B.C.Anesthetic and hemodynamic effects of Dexmedetomidine during Isoflurane anaesthesia in a canine model. *Nurse Anaesth.*, 1991; 2(1): 19-27.